## **REMARKS**

Claims 1 – 11 and 13 - 19 are pending in the present application, of which claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 and 15 have been withdrawn from consideration. By this Amendment, claim 1 has been amended. No new matter has been added. It is respectfully submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated August 23, 2004.

## As to the Merits:

As to the merits of this case, the Examiner relies on the newly cited reference of <u>Stegens</u> (U.S. Patent No. 3,940,706) in setting forth the following rejections:

- 1) claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vinn et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,717,888, of record) in view of Shaw (U.S. Patent No. 2,787,560, of record) and Stegens (U.S. Patent No. 3,940,706);
- 2) claims 1-5, 8, and 14 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holt page 384 of "Electronic Circuits" in view of Vinn, Stegens and Shaw; and
- 3) claims 13 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holt page 384 of "Electronic Circuits" in view of Vinn, Stegens and Shaw and further in view of Campbell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,546,033, of record).

Each of these rejections is respectfully traversed.

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Attorney Docket No. 010377

Serial No. 09/813,303

Claim 1, as amended, now calls for at least one of said first and second circuits

comprising one or a plurality of thin film resistors, wherein said one or plurality of thin film

resistors have a thickness smaller than three times its skin depth at a predetermined frequency in

the range of 1 GHz to 10 GHz, and wherein a resistance value of said one or plurality of thin

film resistors varies with respect to a frequency in the range of 1 GHz to 10 GHz by a skin effect.

With regard to Applicants' argument that Shaw fails to disclose the subject matter of the

present invention utilizing such a characteristic that the resistance value of a metal film resistor

having a certain film thickness is changed with respect to a frequency by a skin effect, the

Examiner takes the position that "the claims do not recite and are not limited to 'resistance value

of a metal film resistor having a certain film thickness is changed with respect to a frequency by

a skin effect.""1

However, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1, as amended, now clearly calls for

wherein a resistance value of said one or plurality of thin film resistors varies with respect to a

frequency in the range of 1 GHz to 10 GHz by a skin effect.

In addition, the Examiner asserts that "because of the composition of Shaw and the above

fact that Shaw has the condition set forth by applicant above, i.e. 'a thickness smaller than three

times its skin depth at a predetermined frequency' in the microwave range, it appears that Shaw

would inherently have the unclaimed function above and applicant has not pointed to any

differences in structure or to anything in Shaw that would prevent Shaw from having its

<sup>1</sup> Please see, lines 14 – 16, page 5 of the outstanding Action.

Page 8 of 10

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Attorney Docket No. 010377

Serial No. 09/813,303

unclaimed feature even it was claimed."2

However, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's position is lacking and

unreasonable, since it is a main objective of Shaw's invention "to produce a resistor having

unaltered resistive characteristics over a frequency range of from zero to billions of cycles per

second."3

Accordingly, since it is a main objective of Shaw to realize an unaltered resistive

characteristics over the entire frequency spectrum, it seems quite clear that Shaw is not

concerned at all with the resistance value of a metal film resistor having a certain film thickness

being changed with respect to a frequency by a skin effect.

As such, it is submitted that Shaw, and the other applied references of Vinn et al. and

Stegens, fail to disclose the features of claim 1, as amended, concerning wherein a resistance

value of said one or plurality of thin film resistors varies with respect to a frequency in the range

of 1 GHz to 10 GHz by a skin effect.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submits that that the claims, as herein amended, are not anticipated or obvious over the cited

references, and therefore the application is in condition for allowance. Applicants request such

action at an early date.

<sup>2</sup> Please see, lines 20 - 25, page 5 of the outstanding Action.

<sup>3</sup> Please see lines 31-34, column 1 of Shaw.

Page 9 of 10

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 Attorney Docket No. 010377

Serial No. 09/813,303

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Thomas E. Brown Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,450

TEB/jl

1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 822-1100 (t)

(202) 822-1111 (f)

Page 10 of 10